

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/826,216	04/16/2004	Leonid Rappoport	PMR-105	1176	
30869	7590 03/23/2005		EXAMINER		
	TELLECTUAL PROF STREET, 2ND FLOOR	ROBERTSON	ROBERTSON, JEFFREY		
), CA 94306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1712		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					UD			
		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/826,216		RAPPOPORT ET A	L.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jeffrey B. Rob	ertson	1712				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	ion appears on the cov	er sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho ation. ys, a reply within the statutory or y period will apply and will expirely statute, cause the application.	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	nely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>01 March 2005</i> .						
·		2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3,6-10 and 20-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 21 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,6-8,10,20 and 22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note t	ne attached Office	Action or form PTC)-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been re cuments have been re he priority documents Bureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applicat have been receiv .2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	itage			
Attachmei	nt(s)							
1) 🔯 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary					
3) 🛛 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date <u>0904</u> .	D/SB/08) 5) [Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal I Other:	eate Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: For claim 22, the examiner is unsure of what is being claimed in claim 22. This claim has been interpreted as a polymer of the compound of claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 10, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weihe (U.S. Patent No. 2,221,418).

For claims 1-3 and 6, Weihe teaches that dithiodibutylene glycol is reacted with succinic anhydride, where the product that is formed is an insoluble balsam. Page 1, lines 45-54. Here, n=2, R and R¹ contain 4 carbon atoms each, and A is a residue of succinic anhydride. For claims 7, 8, and 22, it appears that the product produced is a polymer that falls within the definition of structure (a) in claim 7. For claim 20, Weihe teaches that dithiodiethyleneglycol is used on page 1, lines 24-25. Regarding claim 10, it is the examiner's position that the products taught by Weihe would inherently satisfy the limitations for this claim. Specifically, termination of the products set forth by Weihe would either be through a residue of dithiodibutylene glycol or succinic anhydride. This

Application/Control Number: 10/826,216 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

means that R^2 and R^3 are divalent radicals of either $-C(O)-(CH_2)_2$ - or $-(CH_2)_4S_2(CH_2)_4$ and W and W¹ are hydroxy or carboxyl. Since R^2 and R^3 are not limited to organic
radicals without substitution, it is the examiner's position that the limitations of claim 10
are met.

4. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 10, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,407,972).

For claims 1-3 and 6, Smith teaches that dithiodiglycol is reacted with succinic anhydride, where the product that is formed is a resin. Col. 8, Example I. Here, n=2, R and R¹ contain 2 carbon atoms each, and A is a residue of succinic anhydride. For claims 7, 8, 20, and 22, it appears that the product produced is a polymer that falls within the definition of structure (a) in claim 7. Regarding claim 10, it is the examiner's position that the products taught by Smith would inherently satisfy the limitations for this claim. Specifically, termination of the products set forth by Smith would either be through a residue of dithiodibutylene glycol or succinic anhydride. This means that R² and R³ are divalent radicals of either –C(O)-(CH₂)₂- or –(CH₂)₂S₂(CH₂)₂- and W and W¹ are hydroxy or carboxyl. Since R² and R³ are not limited to organic radicals without substitution, it is the examiner's position that the limitations of claim 10 are met.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claim 21 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,216 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: For claims 9 and 21, Weihe is the closest prior art. This reference fails to teach the presence of a fatty acid dimer. For claim 21, there is no teaching or suggestion for the addition of dimethylolpropionic acid as a reactant to form the reaction product claimed therein.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kranzlein et al. (U.S. Patent No. 1,422,869) and Beekman et al. (US 2001/0036987 A1) are cited for general interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey B. Robertson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1092. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jeffrey B. Robertson **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1712

JBR